	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/670,056	MOON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wanda Eugene	2666
All Participants:	ants: Status of Application: 1 st action non-final	
(1) <u>Wanda Eugene</u> .	(3) Kurt M. Pankratz.	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>03/04/04</u>	Time: <u>3:02</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Subcomination usable together		
Claims discussed: 1-37: Group I: 1-28 claims mobile unit Group II 29-37 claims base station transceiver		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney Pankratz elected Part I without traverse		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Ω		
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)